Photocatalytic water oxidation with iron oxide hydroxide (rust) nanoparticles
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Abstract. Hematite has attracted considerable interest as a photoanode material for water oxidation under visible illumination. Here, we explore the limits of photocatalytic water oxidation activity with iron (III) oxide hydroxide nanocrystals and NaIO₄ as a sacrificial electron acceptor (\(E = 1.63\) V NHE at \(pH = 0.5\)). The sol was prepared by hydrolysis of FeCl₃ in boiling 0.002-M HCl solution and confirmed to mainly consist of \(\beta\)-FeO(OH) (akaganéite) particles with 5 to 15 nm diameter. From a 0.01 M aqueous NaIO₄ solution, the sol evolves between 4.5 and 35.2 \(\mu\)mol O₂ h\(^{-1}\), depending on \(pH\), light intensity (>400 nm, 290 to 700 mW cm\(^{-2}\)), \(\beta\)-FeO(OH), and NaIO₄ concentration. The activity increases with \(pH\), and depends linearly on light intensity and photocatalyst amount, and it varies with sacrificial electron donor concentration. Under optimized conditions, the apparent quantum efficiency is 0.19\% (at 400 nm and 460 mW cm\(^{-2}\)), and the turnover number is 2.58 based on total \(\beta\)-FeO(OH). Overall, the efficiency of the \(\beta\)-FeO(OH)/NaIO₄ photocatalytic system is limited by electron hole recombination and by particle aggregation over longer irradiation times (24 h). Lastly, surface photovoltage measurements on \(\beta\)-FeO(OH) films on fluorine doped tin oxide substrate confirm a 2.15 eV effective band gap for the material. © 2016 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JPE.7.012003]
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1 Introduction

Water photoelectrolysis provides a possible avenue to sustainable fuels created by direct conversion of solar energy.\(^1,2\) The water oxidation reaction is a key step in this process. Many compounds catalyze the reaction, but materials that are stable, inexpensive, and responsive to visible light are scarce. Hematite (\(\alpha\)-Fe₂O₃) does exhibit suitable optical properties and stability,\(^3,4\) but the performance of this material continues to be limited by electron–hole separation, slow charge transport and slow water oxidation kinetics.\(^5\) Since the discovery of Fe₂O₃ as a photoanode material, efforts have been directed at improving its activity\(^6-18\) and at understanding the mechanism of water oxidation.\(^16,17,19-28\) In contrast, very few studies have been directed at water oxidation with suspended iron oxide particles. Initial observations of photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from illuminated Fe₂O₃ suspensions were likely due to the presence of organic impurities in the system.\(^29-31\) Ohmori et al.\(^32\) used Fe³⁺ as sacrificial acceptor to evolve O₂ from illuminated Fe₂O₃ films, but the gas evolution rate was very low (<2 \(\mu\)mol h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)). In 2011, photochemical oxygen formation from illuminated \(\alpha\)-Fe₂O₃ suspensions in the presence of silver nitrate as a chemical bias was reported for the first time.\(^33\) It was found that water oxidation rates scaled inversely with particle size, indicating that hole transport to the nanoparticle surface was a limiting factor. However, the best quantum efficiency was only 0.61 \% (375 nm) and the turnover number (TON) of the system was limited to 1.13 because of silver
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deposition onto the nanocrystals. This side reaction made systematic catalytic studies impossible, and prompted a search for alternative sacrificial electron acceptors. Here, we report photocatalytic water oxidation with iron (III) oxide hydroxide (β-FeO(OH), Akaganéite) particles, a precursor to hematite and an ingredient in rust. The β-FeO(OH)/NaIO₄ system supports photocatalytic O₂ evolution from water under visible light. The activity is linearly dependent on solution pH and illumination intensity, and monotonically increases with β-FeO(OH) concentration. The O₂ evolution rate also increases with NaIO₄ but is limited by aggregation of the colloid at high concentration. Under optimized conditions, O₂ can be produced at a maximum rate of 35.2 μmol h⁻¹ and with a quantum efficiency of 0.19% (at 400 nm), and a turnover number of 2.58 based on Fe(O)OH). This is 2 to 3 orders of magnitude below the performance of the best Fe₂O₃ photoanodes. Long-term irradiation experiments (24 h) show an activity decay that can be attributed to particle aggregation. Overall, these results confirm that photocatalytic O₂ production is possible with suspended iron (III) oxide hydroxide particles, a precursor to hematite, and that the activity is limited by electron hole recombination and particle aggregation.²⁴

2 Results and Discussion

β-FeO(OH) nanoparticles were synthesized by hydrolysis of FeCl₃ in aqueous HCl solution, similar to the protocol by Philipse et al.³⁵ The reaction yields a colloid consisting of particles in the 5 to 15 nm range, based on transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and dynamic light scattering results in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Some larger particles (50 to 300 nm) are seen in the TEM but not in the dynamic light scattering experiment. According to X-ray diffraction (XRD) [Fig. 1(c)], the particles are made of hydrated iron oxide (β-FeO(OH), akaganéite) with some hematite also present. The optical absorption spectrum [Fig. 2(a)] of the colloid shows an absorption edge at 575 nm (2.16 eV) very similar to a hematite sol.³³ Selective photoexcitation of the material without optical interference from metaperiodate or its reduction products (IO₃⁻ or I⁻) can be achieved with the use of a 400 nm long pass filter (2M NaNO₃). Indeed, while the β-FeO(OH)/NaIO₄ mixture evolves over 10 μmol/h of O₂ under these conditions [Fig. 2(b)], only trace amounts of O₂ were observed in the absence of light, or when only β-FeO(OH) or NaIO₄ were present. This suggests that O₂ evolution involves the light-driven redox reactions and IO₃⁻ as the sacrificial electron acceptor (E = +1.19 V at pH 7).

To determine the limiting factors for the photocatalytic process in more detail, oxygen evolution rates were measured as a function of light intensity. In Fig. 3(a), it can be seen that activity increases linearly with light power, as expected for a photon driven process. From the intercept of the linear fit with the x-axis, the minimum light power density for O₂ evolution is 93.63 mW cm⁻². However, as deviations from linearity at low illumination power are possible, quantum efficiency measurements (see following material) provide a better measure of the limiting photocactivity of the sol.

Figure 3(b) shows the dependence of the photocatalytic rate on sacrificial donor concentration. The O₂ evolution rate increases strongly with NaIO₄ concentration, until it reaches a maximum (23.7 μmol h⁻¹) at 0.04 M, and then it declines, as the IO₃⁻ concentration is raised above 0.05 M. This activity decrease is likely connected to the higher ionic strength of the electrolyte and a resulting stability decrease of the colloid that leads to particle aggregation [Fig. 3(c)].³⁶,³⁷ Theoretically, higher O₂ evolution rates might be achievable with a sacrificial electron acceptor that does not cause particle aggregation.

Next, in order to determine the effect of the β-FeO(OH) concentration on O₂ evolution, sols with different particle content were illuminated at constant flux, sacrificial agent concentration, and solution pH. From Fig. 4(a), it can be seen that in the 0.035 to 0.32 mg mL⁻¹ interval, the O₂ production rate increases with increasing concentration of nanoparticles, indicating that light absorption is limiting under these conditions.

Next, the pH dependence of the photocatalytic process was investigated. As seen in Fig. 4(b), the O₂ production rate is found to linearly increase with the pH of the solution. From the slope of the plot, the rate varies 1.15 μmol h⁻¹ per unit pH and is highest at pH = 12.24. This trend cannot be explained by the thermodynamics of the reaction, as the pH-dependence of water oxidation and iodate reduction cancel out [Eqs. (1)–(3)]:
Fig. 1 (a) TEM image of $\beta$-FeO(OH) nanoparticles, scale bar is 100 nm. (b) Dynamic light scattering data (blue) show particles in the 5.6 to 8.7 nm diameter range 24 h after synthesis. After irradiation (red), particle size increases to 300–400 nm. There also appears a small portion (<5% of total particles) of much larger agglomerated particles in the 2.5 to 6.5 $\mu$m range. (C) PXRD of $\beta$-FeO(OH) particles (black). Standard diffraction pattern for $\beta$-FeO(OH) (blue) from PDF card 00-034-1266. The pattern for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (red, pdf card 00-033-0664) is also shown.

\begin{align}
2\text{IO}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- &\rightarrow 2\text{IO}_3^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \\
2\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^-, \\
2\text{IO}_4^- &\rightarrow 2\text{IO}_3^- + \text{O}_2.
\end{align}

(1)  
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Indeed, both water oxidation and $\text{IO}_4^{-}$ reduction potentials exhibit near-ideal Nernstian behavior, despite changing levels of hydration with pH (at pH 0.5, iodate exists as $\text{H}_3\text{IO}_4$ with a reduction potential $E^0 = +1.63 \text{ V}$, at pH 6 the metaperiodate $\text{IO}_4^{-}$ with $E^0 = +1.30 \text{ V}$ is the dominant form, and at pH 13, the hydrated $\text{H}_3\text{IO}_3^{-}$ ion with $E^0 = +0.69 \text{ V}$ prevails).\textsuperscript{38,39} Similarly, the particle band edge potentials are expected to move along with the pH.\textsuperscript{40} Instead, the experimental pH dependence of $\text{O}_2$ evolution must be attributed to the “kinetics” of the water oxidation. Two types of water oxidation mechanisms, an “acidic” and an “alkaline mechanism,” which involves free or bound hydroxyl species can be distinguished.\textsuperscript{26,41} At pH values below seven, the hydroxide concentration becomes small and water serves as main proton acceptor for Eq. (2). Because water is less basic than hydroxide, the water oxidation rate in acidic medium is smaller than in basic solution and the activation energy for water oxidation is larger. At high pH, the more basic hydroxide becomes the preferred proton acceptor, speeding up the reaction. This explains the observed pH dependence of $\text{O}_2$ evolution.

**Fig. 2** (a) Optical absorbance data for $\beta$-$\text{FeO(OH)}$ suspension (brown trace), metaperiodate (black), iodate (red), iodide (green), and metaperiodate after 12 h irradiation (gray). The xenon lamp emission overlaps with $\beta$-$\text{FeO(OH)}$ in the visible region ($\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$). (b) Oxygen evolution from particle suspensions under visible ($\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$) illumination or in the dark, and from metaperiodate solution in an oil bath at 60°C.
Fig. 3 Oxygen evolution from β-FeO(OH) suspensions. (a) O₂ production rates versus irradiance intensity. Minimum photon flux estimated to be 93 mW cm⁻². (b) Effect of sacrificial agent concentration on O₂ production rate. (c) Particle size distribution obtained by DLS measurement of β-FeO(OH) suspension in water (blue) and in a solution of 0.07 M NaIO₄. Both solutions were pH adjusted to 7.9 by dropwise addition of 2 M NaOH.
Next, we compare the $O_2$ evolution rate of the $\beta$-FeO(OH) sol with that of state of the art Fe$_2$O$_3$ photoanodes. Under optimized conditions, the $\beta$-FeO(OH)/NaIO$_4$ system evolves 35.2 $\mu$mol O$_2$ h$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5). This corresponds to an oxygen evolution current density of 64.35 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$ under $\sim$7 suns illumination (680 mW cm$^{-2}$). In comparison, state of the art Fe$_2$O$_3$ photoanodes$^{17,34,42-44}$ have been shown to support anodic current densities of up to 5.7 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $+1.23$ $V_{RHE}$ applied bias and AM 1.5 illumination. This is 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than the calculated photocurrent of the sol. The lower activity of the colloid can be attributed to several factors, including the lower activity of the $\beta$-FeO(OH) phase, the lack of a water oxidation cocatalyst and the lack of a fast electron extraction pathway. This causes the majority of electron hole pairs to recombine in the $\beta$-FeO(OH) nanoparticles.

In order to obtain a second estimate of the fraction of reactive e/h pairs in the sol, the quantum efficiency of oxygen evolution is measured under illumination from a 400 nm LED (460 mW cm$^{-2}$). Under these conditions, the $\beta$-FeO(OH)/NaIO$_4$ system generates 5.55 $\mu$mol O$_2$ h$^{-1}$ which corresponds to an apparent quantum efficiency of 0.19%, i.e., over 99% of the holes recombine with electrons prior to reaction with water. This QE value compares well to our previous value for the Fe$_2$O$_3$/AgNO$_3$ system (QE = 0.61% at 375 nm).$^{33}$ In that

![Graph showing O$_2$ production rate vs. amount of catalyst and pH](Fig. 4)
Fig. 5 Oxygen evolution from an optimized β-FeO(OH)/NaIO₄ system. pH: 12.9, intensity: 680 mW cm⁻², NaIO₄: 0.03 M, 18.75 mg β-FeO(OH) in 75 mL nanopure water. After 24 h, the resulting total O₂ is 553 μmol, corresponding to a TON of 2.58.

Fig. 6 (a) 24 h continuous irradiation of β-FeO(OH) suspension. Lamp intensity: 470 mW cm⁻², pH: 12.2, NaIO₄: 0.01 M, mass of catalyst: 23.44 mg. Pictures of β-FeO(OH) suspension (b) before and (c) after irradiation. TEM image (d) of particle aggregate.
case, the QE is higher because of the better activity of the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase and due to the higher photon energy. Nevertheless, the QE values remain more than two orders of magnitude below the incident photon to current efficiency values of optimized Fe$_2$O$_3$ photoanodes (up to 80% at 400 nm).17

Next, we discuss the long-term stability of the photocatalytic water oxidation system. As can be seen from Fig. 6(a), the $O_2$ evolution rate slowly decays over a 24 h period from initially 11.1 to 4.33 $\mu$mol h$^{-1}$, a 60% decrease. This decay is accompanied by a change of the optical appearance of the suspension and is caused by agglomeration of particles into large aggregates as confirmed by TEM [Fig. 6(d)]. The aggregates are 300 to 400 nm in diameter, based on dynamic light scattering measurements after irradiation [Fig. 1(b)]. A very small portion (<5%) of aggregates in the 2.5 to 6.5 $\mu$m range is also present. While we presently do not understand the reason for the particle aggregation during irradiation, it is the likely cause for the loss of catalytic activity. Indeed, repeat irradiations of partially aggregated samples confirm a loss in activity (Fig. 7). As the particles aggregate, the specific surface area of the sol decreases, and with it the ability to effectively absorb light or transfer short-lived charge carriers into the solution. We have previously described the effect of Fe$_2$O$_3$ particle size on photocatalytic $O_2$ evolution.33

Lastly, we present surface photovoltage (SPV) measurements on $\beta$-FeO(OH) nanoparticle film deposited by drop-coating on a modified fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate.

**Fig. 7** Decreased oxygen evolution upon repeated irradiations of same $\beta$-FeO(OH) sample due to aggregation of particles. 30 mg catalyst, 0.015 M NaIO$_4$, 600 mW cm$^{-2}$, pH 12.1

**Fig. 8** SPV spectrum of $\beta$-FeO(OH) nanoparticle film (black) on FTO/ITO substrate. The spectrum of a hematite nanowire film on FTO is shown in comparison.50
The SPV scan in Fig. 8 shows a negative voltage signal with an onset of 2.15 eV, which reaches its most negative value of $-106$ mV at a photon energy of 3.75 eV. The photoonset of photovoltage has been shown to be a good predictor of the effective bandgap of semiconductors.\textsuperscript{45-49} The 2.15 eV value agrees well with the optical absorption onset at 575 nm [2.16 eV, Fig. 2(a)]. For comparison, Fig. 8 also shows the photovoltage spectrum on Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanowire arrays (red trace).\textsuperscript{50} Here, the maximum photovoltage is reached at 2.7 eV instead of 3.75 eV. This suggests that photochemical charge separation in the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanowires is more efficient than in the $\beta$-FeO(OH) particle film.

### 2.1 Conclusions

In summary, these experiments establish visible light-driven photocatalytic water oxidation with suspended iron (III) oxide hydroxide nanoparticles, a precursor to hematite and ingredient in rust. Oxygen evolution is favored at high pH, which is a result of the faster water oxidation kinetics. As expected, the performance of the system increases with light intensity and particle concentration. Under optimized conditions, the apparent quantum efficiency of the system is 0.19\% at 400 nm and the turnover number is 2.58 after 24 h. Overall, this performance is comparable to suspended $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles, but about 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than that of state of the art hematite photoelectrodes. According to the SPV data, the lower O$_2$ evolution rate is a result of a lower photovoltage of the $\beta$-FeO(OH) phase in comparison to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The lack of a water oxidation cocatalyst and inefficient electron hole separation in the small particles are additional factors. Also, the activity of the system decays over time as irradiated particles form large aggregates with lower surface area. In theory, improved $\beta$-FeO(OH) photocatalysts could be achievable with other redox couples, such as H$_2$O$_2$ or Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$\textsuperscript{20,51,52} that support faster redox reactions and that can better compete with the fast electron–hole recombination in iron oxide.\textsuperscript{33} Other options are the use of cocatalysts and templating scaffolds such as silica for the immobilization of nanoparticle photocatalysts.\textsuperscript{54}

### 3 Experimental Details

#### 3.1 Chemicals

All reagents were obtained from Fisher Scientific. FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (99.9\%), 12.1 N HCl (99.99\%), NaOH (>97.0\%) were used as obtained. Water was purified to at least 17.5 MΩ cm resistivity with a nano-pure filtration system. The NaIO$_4$ (99.0\%, Fischer Scientific) was recrystallized from water. ITO powder (Alfa Aesar) 99.99\% (metals basis) was used as obtained.

#### 3.2 Synthesis

Iron (III) oxide hydroxide nanoparticles were synthesized following a literature reaction.\textsuperscript{35} A 0.02M Fe$^{3+}$ solution was created by adding 1.355 g FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O to 250 mL of boiling 0.002M HCl in a round bottom flask attached to a condenser apparatus. This solution was boiled for an additional 30 min, at which time the flask was removed from heat and gradually cooled to room temperature. Samples for photocatalytic testing were taken directly from the suspension without further workup.

#### 3.3 Characterization

XRD measurements were performed with a Rigaku MiniFlex600 with a standard sealed-tube Cu-K$_\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) source and a dTex ultra high-speed silicon strip detector with a nickel K$_\beta$ filter. The sample was run on a Si$_{10}$ single-crystal zero background plate and the energy window of the detector was set to reduce fluorescence from the iron in the sample. TEM images were collected on a Philips CM120 Biotwin Lens and Gatan MegaScan (model 794/20) digital camera (2 K x 2 K). Samples were prepared by soaking carbon film coated copper grids in a suspension of the $\beta$-FeO(OH) nanoparticles. The grids were then rinsed with high purity water and air-dried.
Particle size histograms were measured by dynamic light scattering using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS90 equipped with a 633 nm laser. Samples for the zetasizer were prepared by a 1:10 dilution of the solution in nanopure (17.9 MΩ purified) water. Kubelka–Munk spectra were recorded using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS90 equipped with a 633 nm laser. Samples for the zetasizer were prepared by a 1:10 dilution of the solution in nanopure (17.9 MΩ purified) water. Spectra were recorded using a Thermo Scientific Evolution 220 UV/Vis spectrometer. Sample films were dried onto white filter paper, and spectra were recorded using an integration sphere. SPV measurements were performed under illumination from a 400 nm LED.
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