Use of surface photovoltage spectroscopy to probe energy levels and charge carrier dynamics in transition metal (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped SrTiO₃ photocatalysts for H₂ evolution from water†
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Doping with transition metal ions is widely employed to adjust the optical and photocatalytic properties of wide band semiconductors, however, quantitative information about the energetics and charge transfer dynamics of the impurity states is often difficult to obtain. Here we use surface photovoltage spectroscopy (SPS), optical spectroscopy, and irradiation experiments to study the effect of several dopants on the ability of SrTiO₃ nanocrystals to generate a photovoltage and to catalyse H₂ evolution from aqueous methanol. Phase pure SrTiO₂:TM nanocrystals with TM = Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh were synthesized by hydrothermal reaction of TiO₂, Sr(OH)₂, KOH, and transition metal chlorides and nitrates in water. SPS data was obtained on thin films of these nanocrystals on fluorine doped tin oxide substrates under vacuum atmosphere. All samples are n-type, which can be gauged from the negative photovoltage caused by the transfer of electrons into the FTO substrate. All dopants produce sub-bandgap states in the SrTiO₃ lattice, whose energetic positions can be determined from the photovoltage onset energy in SPS and from optical absorption spectra. The reversibility and size of the photovoltage provide information about the photohole dynamics and their ability to oxidize sacrificial electron donors at the nanocrystal surface. Overall, this work provides an explanation for the inability of Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn dopants to enhance visible light photocatalytic activity in SrTiO₃, and it establishes SPS as a useful tool to map the energetics and photochemistry of impurity states in metal oxide nanocrystals.

Introduction

Photocatalytic water splitting is a promising approach for the generation of carbon free fuel via conversion of sunlight. Because of its high stability and suitable band edge positions, SrTiO₃ has been studied as a photocatalyst for the water splitting reaction. Its main disadvantage is its large band gap of 3.2 eV that makes it suitable to only absorb photons in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum. This limit can be potentially overcome through introduction of foreign elements that generate visible light absorbing sub-gap states. In the ABO₃ perovskite structure type, dopants can occupy both A and B sites, allowing great flexibility for doping. However, of the many transition metals (Ag and Pb, La, Nb, Ta and Ni, Cr, Mn, Nb, Ta and Ni, Ir, Ru, Pt) that have been incorporated into the SrTiO₃ lattice, only some, such as Rh, V, Mo, W, Sb, Fe, Cu, and Co, actually improve the photocatalytic activity in the visible region. The majority of ions decrease the photocatalytic activity because the partially filled d-orbitals of transition metals dopants capture both electrons and holes and act as recombination centers. When the dopant valence differs from that of Ti⁴⁺, oxygen vacancies and Ti⁵⁺ states are often formed as a result of charge compensation. These additional defect states can also act as recombination sites. Overall, the understanding of the structure, energetics, and dynamics of the transition metal dopants in SrTiO₃ and other metal oxides is central to solar energy conversion and photocatalytic applications with such materials. However, experimental information about the energetics and redox properties of the dopants is very difficult to obtain. Herein, we apply surface photovoltage spectroscopy (SPS) to observe the photochemistry of transition metal doped SrTiO₃:TM nanocrystals (TM = Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn and Rh). In SPS the photovoltage of an illuminated particle is measured in a contactless way under vacuum conditions with a semi-transparent Kelvin probe. The photovoltage corresponds
to movement of photogenerated charge carriers in the particle film or its interfaces. It provides information about the majority carrier type and effective band gap, \(^{44}\) built-in potential, \(^{35}\) carrier trapping, \(^{46}\) recombination, \(^{37}\) and redox reactions of the sample. \(^{1}\) In contrast to photoelectrochemistry, photovoltages acquired by SPS do not require any faradaic process to occur at the nanocrystal surface. That makes it possible to observe the intrinsic photochemistry of the samples. Also, the technique is at least three orders of magnitude more sensitive than photoelectrochemistry. \(^{38}\) As shown below, TM doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals produce strong sub-bandgap photovoltage signals that arise from the impurity states. By comparing the photoonset potentials with the optical spectra, it becomes possible to estimate the energy positions of the dopants in the lattice. Additionally, reversibility and size of the photovoltage in the presence and absence of methanol provide information about the reactivity of the photoholes. It is found that only Rh:SrTiO\(_3\) can generate reactive and mobile photoholes, which explains the superior visible light \(\text{H}_2\) evolution activity of Rh-doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals. In contrast, Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals are found to generate weak and irreversible photovoltage under visible excitation, which agrees with their low photocatalytic \(\text{H}_2\) evolution activity. These results confirm that SPS is a useful tool for the identification of dopants in SrTiO\(_3\), for mapping their energetics, and for probing their redox behaviour.

**Results and discussion**

Fig. 1 shows a summary of XRD patterns for as synthesized SrTiO\(_3\) and TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals. All diffraction peaks can be assigned to the cubic perovskite structure type and there are no discernible impurities. Based on the Scherrer equation, the average crystallite size is 35 nm. \(^{39}\) Incorporation of the metal ions was verified by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (Table S1†). Accordingly, Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh ions are present at 0.44, 1.24, 1.70, 1.73, 1.05 mol% respectively in these samples. Based on their ionic radii, the TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) ions most likely occupy the Ti\(^{4+}\) site in the SrTiO\(_3\) lattice (Table S2†). Insertion of the TM ions into lattice is also revealed by shifts of the (110) peak in the XRD patterns (Fig. S1†). A shift to larger diffraction angle (smaller unit cell) is observed on Mn:SrTiO\(_3\) which agrees with the smaller ion radii of Mn\(^{3+}\) and Mn\(^{4+}\) compared to Ti\(^{4+}\) (Table S2†). The same is found for the Ni-doped sample. In contrast, Rh:SrTiO\(_3\) exhibits a shift of the (110) peak to smaller angle (large unit cell), in agreement with the larger size of Rh\(^{3+}\), compared to Ti\(^{4+}\). No change is observed for Cu and Fe doped samples. XPS spectra of the doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals were attempted to obtain information about the valence of the TM ions. Apart from Rh, no signals for the dopants were detected because of their low concentration. To avoid this problem, a second set of TM doped nanocrystals was synthesized by doubling the concentrations of the dopants. XPS spectra for this set of materials are shown in Fig. S2.† The spectra support the presence of nickel as Ni\(^{3+}\) with a small contribution of Ni\(^{2+}\). Iron is present in the Fe\(^{2+}\) state with smaller contributions from Fe\(^{3+}\) and Fe\(^{4+}\). Manganese is found as Mn\(^{3+}\) with some Mn\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{4+}\) present also. Rhodium is found as Rh\(^{3+}\) exclusively when 6 mol% of RhCl\(_3\) was present during the synthesis, but some Rh\(^{4+}\) is detected in the samples synthesized with 3 mol% RhCl\(_3\) present. Copper was below the detection limit. Analysis of the titanium 2p\(_{1/2}\) and 2p\(_{3/2}\) signals showed the expected +4 oxidation state exclusively, but revealed small Ti\(^{3+}\) populations for the Rh and Cu-doped SrTiO\(_3\) samples.

Fig. 2 displays the TEM images and corresponding size distribution histograms for doped and non-doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanocrystals. Most nanocrystals have a cubic morphology with edge lengths of 34 ± 9.3, 35 ± 6.4, 39 ± 6.5, 36 ± 6.6, 38 ± 6.6 and 39 ± 9.0 nm, for samples shown in Fig. 2A–F, respectively.

This indicates that the introduction of the transition metal ions does not affect crystal growth. Also, the match between TEM diameter and Scherrer particles size suggests that most particles are single crystals. This is confirmed by high resolution TEM and selected area diffraction data for Ni-doped SrTiO\(_3\) (Fig. S3†).

Photographs of the samples are depicted in Fig. 3 along with diffuse reflectance absorption spectra (DRS). Non-doped SrTiO\(_3\) is plain white and exhibits an absorption edge at 385 nm, in agreement with its 3.2 eV band gap. The addition of nickel gives the Ni:SrTiO\(_3\) sample a pink appearance and leads to a broad absorption band at 520 nm, which is assigned to a d-d transition of Ni\(^{3+}\) cations. \(^{40}\) The Cu:SrTiO\(_3\) appears off-white and exhibits an absorption onset shifted to 400 nm (3.1 eV) which is assigned to the transition from Cu 3d electrons to the SrTiO\(_3\) conduction band. These and other optical data are listed in Table S2†.

Iron and Mn doped samples appear grey and green-gray. Their optical spectra show absorption edges strongly shifted into the visible plus a long absorption tail that extends beyond 800 nm. The shift in absorption edge suggests that optical excitation of metal 3d electrons into the conduction band of the host is possible. The absorption tail is attributed to the variation of dopant oxidation states as suggested by the XPS data. Lastly, Rh-doped SrTiO\(_3\) is yellow and has an absorption onset of
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**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of as-prepared SrTiO\(_3\) and TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped SrTiO\(_3\) nanoparticles.
510 nm (2.3 eV) arising from excitation of Rh\textsuperscript{3+} d-electrons into the titanate conduction band. The tail reaching to 700 nm is due to residual Rh\textsuperscript{4+}.\textsuperscript{41}

Surface photovoltage spectra (SPS) were recorded on thin films of the TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh):SrTiO\textsb{3} nanocrystals on fluorine doped tin oxide substrates. These spectra are shown in Fig. 4 together with diffuse reflectance absorption spectra of each sample. All spectra show negative photovoltage signals that are typically associated with the transfer of electrons to the FTO substrate. This shows that all samples are n-type. For non-doped SrTiO\textsb{3}, the photovoltage onset at 3.1 eV closely matches the absorption edge of the material (3.2 eV). For all TM doped STO films, the photoonset energies are shifted into the visible region, similar to what was seen in the optical spectra of these compounds (onset values are listed in Table S2\textsuperscript{†}). For Fe- and Rh-doped SrTiO\textsb{3}, the photovoltage onset agrees well with the optical absorption edges, while for Ni-doped SrTiO\textsb{3}, the photovoltage onset at 2.75 eV is below the absorption onset (3.1 eV) and 0.35 eV shifted above the Ni d–d transition peak (2.4 eV). This suggests that charge carriers generated at 2.4 eV excitation are not mobile (see further discussion below). A similar situation exists for Mn: SrTiO\textsb{3}, where the photovoltage onset at 2.45 eV is found above the energy of the optical absorption edge (2.0 eV). In contrast, for Cu: SrTiO\textsb{3} the photovoltage onset at 2.7 eV is shifted to lower energy compared to the absorption edge of this material (3.1 eV). Apparently, the states involved in the weak absorption tail seen in Fig. 3 can contribute mobile electrons under excitation. Lastly, for Rh: SrTiO\textsb{3}, it is noted that the small optical absorption peak at 2.0 eV does not produce a photovoltage signal. This peak is attributed to photochemically non-active Rh\textsuperscript{4+} species, as discussed above. Additional differences between the TM-doped SrTiO\textsb{3} nanocrystals become visible by comparing the relative sizes of photovoltage signals under visible and UV illumination, (Fig. 4). While Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn and non-doped SrTiO\textsb{3} reach their maximum photovoltage signal only under UV excitation of the SrTiO\textsb{3} host (at 3.5 eV), the Rh-doped sample achieves its maximum voltage signal already under 3.0 eV illumination. This indicates that the Rh\textsuperscript{3+} dopants are more effective than the other TM ions at promoting charge separation in this material. This may be attributed to the greater reversibility of the Rh\textsuperscript{3+/4+} redox couple\textsuperscript{42} and the larger size of the Rh-d orbitals which promotes better overlap with the lattice ions.

In order to probe the ability of the photoexcited TM states to oxidize molecular adsorbates, SPS scans were recorded after exposing the films to a few drops of the sacrificial electron donor methanol (Fig. 4, S4 and Table S3\textsuperscript{†}). Previous SPS studies on Rh: SrTiO\textsb{3} revealed that photochemical oxidation of sacrificial electron donors (iodide, methanol) can be observed as a photovoltage boost.\textsuperscript{1} As can be seen from the red traces in Fig. 4, the addition of methanol causes a photovoltage increase in most samples and a shift of the photoonset to lower energy values. This indicates that mid-bandgap states are able to promote methanol oxidation upon excitation. For non-doped SrTiO\textsb{3} methanol causes a 0.23 V photovoltage increase at 3.0 eV and a 1.23 V photovoltage increase at 3.5 eV. This is in agreement with a previous report of defect-induced visible light H\textsb{2} evolution from SrTiO\textsb{3}.\textsuperscript{43} Samples of Cu, Fe-doped SrTiO\textsb{3} also produce significant photovoltage increases at 3.5 eV illumination, however, the boost at 3.0 eV is comparable or less
than what is found for non-doped SrTiO₃ (Table S3 and Fig. S4†). This suggests that the states responsible for the methanol oxidation are associated with the SrTiO₃ host and not with the Cu and Fe dopants. The situation is markedly different for Rh:SrTiO₃ which achieves its maximum photovoltage under 3.0 eV excitation. This suggests that photoholes generated on the Rh(3+) sites are able to reach the adsorbed methanol on the nanocrystal surface. As the only sample, Mn:SrTiO₃ shows a photovoltage reduction at both 3.0 and 3.5 eV when treated with methanol. This behavior is difficult to explain without further experiments. A possible reason is that methanol treatment produces Mn states that promote electron hole recombination in the catalyst. Lastly, Ni:SrTiO₃ shows a 0.4 V photovoltage boost at 3.0 illumination and a 0.7 V boost at 3.5 eV. This shows that Ni contributes states that can photo-oxidize methanol under visible excitation, similar to Rh.

Next, in order to probe the charge transfer dynamics of the transition metal doped nanocrystals, time-dependent photovoltage traces were recorded using light on-off cycles under visible and UV illumination, respectively. Non-doped SrTiO₃ nanocrystals (Fig. 5A) were found to generate small reversible photovoltage (−0.01 to −0.03 V) under 2.7 eV excitation and large reversible photovoltage (−0.85 V) under band gap excitation (3.2 eV). The 2.7 eV states are likely associated with lattice and surface defects of the material. Photovoltage formation occurs on the 5–10 min scale for visible and UV excitation respectively, while photovoltage decay occurs on the 2–5 min timescale. The slow timescale for photovoltage generation shows that charge carriers move by diffusion. The decay is faster because return of the charge carriers into the depleted nanocrystal film is assisted by the electric field at the nanocrystal–substrate interface. The visible light induced transient photovoltage for the Fe-doped SrTiO₃ nanocrystals (Fig. 5) is very similar to that of non-doped SrTiO₃, but differences arise in the UV region. Here the photovoltages are smaller, less reversible, and also the timescale is slower, especially for the photovoltage decay. This suggests that carriers are fewer in concentration and less mobile, and become trapped in Fe sub-gap states. It is well known that unpaired electrons in high spin...
3d\textsuperscript{5} and 3d\textsuperscript{4} configurations contribute to electron hole recombination.\textsuperscript{17,23,47,48} On this basis, Fe is not beneficial to the photochemistry of SrTiO\textsubscript{3}.

Very similar behavior is seen for Mn-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (Fig. S5\textsuperscript{†}), which is due to the ability of Mn\textsuperscript{3+} to trap both electrons and holes.\textsuperscript{31} In contrast to the other samples, Rh: SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (Fig. 5C) exhibits large and reversible photovoltage formation under both 2.7 eV and 3.2 eV excitation. The average photovoltage under visible excitation (\(-1.10 \text{ V}\)) exceeds that of the non-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3} nanocrystal in the UV while the voltage under 3.2 eV excitation is about the same (\(-0.86 \text{ V}\)). However, the timescales for photovoltage formation (12–15 min) and decay (1 h) are much slower than for non-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3}. Charge transport in Rh: SrTiO\textsubscript{3} is slow because it involves hole hopping across the narrow Rh\textsuperscript{4+}/\textsuperscript{3+} impurity band.\textsuperscript{42} Light-on/off cycles for the remaining samples are summarized in Fig. S5.\textsuperscript{†} The behavior of Cu: SrTiO\textsubscript{3} is similar to that of Fe-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3}, except this time, selective excitation of the Cu impurity states at 2.6 eV produces increasingly negative photovoltages, indicating gradual accumulation of photoholes in the sample, possibly at the Cu ion. Again, photovoltage generation under visible (22 min) and UV excitation (12 min) is slow. Irreversible oxidation of the dopant during multiply visible light excitation cycles can also be seen for Ni: SrTiO\textsubscript{3}, as an increase of the photovoltage over time. This suggests the oxidized dopants may actually improve the photochemical properties of the material. However, the time periods required for the photovoltage formation (15 min) and recovery (45 min) remain slow under visible illumination, and the photovoltage is comparatively small.

In order to determine the effect of the metal dopants on photocatalytic H\textsubscript{2} evolution with SrTiO\textsubscript{3} under visible light, each sample was first modified with Pt (2.0 wt\%) nanoparticles and then irradiated as an aqueous suspension with 20 vol\% methanol as the hole scavenger. Fig. 6 shows hydrogen evolution under 600 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} visible illumination (>400 nm with long-pass filter). All samples evolve H\textsubscript{2} under visible light illumination, but the amounts are too small to rule out a stoichiometric process. Only Rh: SrTiO\textsubscript{3}–Pt evolves H\textsubscript{2} at higher rate, in agreement with earlier reports.\textsuperscript{1,21,26} The activity of the Cu, Fe, Mn, and Ni-doped samples is comparable to non-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3}. The lack of visible light photocatalysis of the Cu, Fe, and Mn-doped nanocrystals is attributed to their inability to transport photoholes to the nanocrystal surface, based on the photovoltage data in Fig. 4. For Ni-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3}, the SPS data in Fig. 4 confirmed that methanol could be oxidized, but the time dependent photovoltage measurement in Fig. S5\textsuperscript{†} showed that charge transport is slow. This explains the low H\textsubscript{2} evolution activity in this case. Improved H\textsubscript{2} evolution activity can be achieved by increasing the amount of TM dopants during the synthesis of the samples (Table S4\textsuperscript{†}). Among the more strongly doped samples, Ni: SrTiO\textsubscript{3}–Pt performed the best with 1.51 \text{ mol h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ of } H\textsubscript{2} \text{ with an apparent quantum efficiency of 0.04% at 435 nm (Fig. S6\textsuperscript{†}). Using this value as a reference, the apparent quantum efficiency of the undoped and Ni, Cu, Fe, and Mn doped nanocrystals in Fig. 6 can be estimated at 0.02% and that of the Rh doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3} nanocrystals as 0.71%.

Using the optical and photovoltage data in Fig. 3 and 4, we construct the energy diagram in Fig. 7 for the TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped samples. According to the scheme, the residual visible light activity of non-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3} and the negative photovoltage at 3.1 eV are attributed to the excitation of oxygen vacancy defects (V\text{O}) approximately 0.1 eV above the valence band. Excitation of these states produces mobile electrons in the SrTiO\textsubscript{3} conduction band and mobile holes in the oxygen defect band that can be used for the oxidation of methanol. The position of the V\text{O} states agrees well with the results of photoelectron spectra of SrTiO\textsubscript{3} which place these states 0.15 eV above the valence band.\textsuperscript{43} Introduction of Rh leads to Rh\textsuperscript{3+} t\textsubscript{2g} states approximately 2.3 eV below the conduction band.\textsuperscript{4} Excitation of these states produces
a photovoltage that arises from electron movement through the SrTiO₃ conduction band into the FTO substrate. Photocatalysis and SPS confirm that the holes created in the Rh(3+) state can diffuse through the SrTiO₃ crystal and oxidize methanol. In contrast, Fe-ion produces states at 2.8 eV below $E_{cb}$ that do not support hole transport. Instead, photoholes become trapped at the Fe sites, turning them into recombination centers. The position of the Fe states near the valence band agrees well with earlier studies. Copper behaves similar to iron, with hole trap states forming 2.7 eV below the conduction band. A slightly different situation arises for Mn doped SrTiO₃. Here two sets of states are observed near the conduction and valence bands respectively. Transitions between these states are responsible for the 2.0 eV visible absorption of the material, and transitions from the Mn t₂g states to the conduction band are responsible for the 2.45 eV photovoltage onset in SPS. Based on SPS data in Fig. 4 and 5, the Mn states do not produce mobile photoholes and act as electron–hole recombination sites instead. Nickel produces also two sets of energy states, but their effect on electron–hole recombination is less than for Mn. Photoholes from Ni can oxidize methanol, but their mobility is too small to enable catalytic hydrogen production from aqueous methanol.

However, separate work by the Kudo and the Park laboratories has shown that co-doping with La, Nb, or Ta can turn Ni-doped SrTiO₃ into a visible light responsive photocatalyst for H₂ and O₂ evolution from sacrificial donors. This emphasizes the importance of co-dopants for creating mobile holes in Ni doped SrTiO₃.

### Conclusion

In summary, we have shown that phase-pure transition metal (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped SrTiO₃ nanocrystals can be prepared by hydrothermal synthesis from TiO₂, Sr(OH)₂, and KOH, in the presence of the transition metal nitrates or chlorides. According to X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy the dopant concentrations are 0.44, 1.24, 1.70, 1.73, 1.05 mol%, respectively, with regard to SrTiO₃. The dopants produce mid-bandgap states in the lattice whose energy positions can be estimated by combining data from optical absorption and surface photovoltage spectroscopy. Optical absorption spectra reveal the dopant-induced optical gap, while the photovoltage onset reveals the relative position of the donor states with regard to the conduction band of the SrTiO₃ host. Photovoltage size and reversibility provide information on the charge transfer dynamics of the dopants and their ability to trap charge carriers, promote electron–hole recombination, or photooxidize methanol on the nanocrystal surface. Only Rh and to some extent Ni produces states that allow extraction of both electrons and holes, while all other dopants produce states that allow extraction of electrons but not of holes. These findings correlate well with the photocatalytic activity of the nanocrystals: Rh-doped SrTiO₃ has the best activity for production of H₂ under visible light, while all other nanocrystals show only minor H₂ evolution with quantum efficiencies of ~0.02% at 435 nm. Overall, this work shows that SPS is a useful tool to assess the energetics and dynamics of electronic states in transition metal doped SrTiO₃ nanocrystals. These results will be useful for the design of improved photocatalysts for chemical transformations and solar energy conversion.

### Experimental

Strontium hydroxide octahydrate (99%, Alfa Aesar), titanium(IV) oxide (Aeroxide P25, Acros Organics), potassium hydroxide (≥85%, Sigma-Aldrich), nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Acros Organics, >99%), copper(II) nitrate nonahydrate (Acros Organics, 99%), iron(II) chloride hydrate (≥98%, Sigma–Aldrich), manganese chloride (100%, Fisher Scientific), rhodium(III) chloride hydrate (38–41% Rh, Strem Chemicals), dihydrogen hexachloroplatinate(IV) hexahydrate (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), were used as received. Water was purified to 18 MΩ cm resistivity using a nano-pure system.

SrTiO₃ nanocrystals were synthesized following the hydrothermal method reported earlier. Sr(OH)₂·8H₂O, 0.160 g P25 TiO₂ (2.00 mmol were used to reduce TiO₂ impurities in the final product), and 1.262 g (22.5 mmol) KOH were mixed in 23 mL nanopure water. The solution was transferred to 45 mL PTFE lined autoclave after ultrasonication and stirring for 10 minutes. Then the autoclave was put in an oven and heated at 423 K for 72 hours. After that, the precipitate was washed with diluted hydrochloric acid (to remove SrCO₃ and excess Sr(OH)₂) and water for five times. Approximately 290 mg of powder was obtained after drying in vacuum overnight, which corresponds to 77% yield based on TiO₂. TM (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh) doped SrTiO₃ nanoparticles were synthesized in the same way, except that 3 mL of a solution containing Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (19.6 mg), Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (15 mg), Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (25.1 mg), MnCl₂·4H₂O (12.3 mg), RhCl₃·xH₂O (14.1 mg) were added to the reaction mixture. This corresponds to a 3.0 mol% of the TM based on Sr. The samples were denoted as SrTiO₃ and M (Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Rh)SrTiO₃ respectively. A second set of samples for XPS was synthesized by doubling the amount of doping salts to 6.0% mol.

Films for SPS were prepared by drop coating on FTO substrate. In detail, 5 mg mL⁻¹ aqueous dispersions of the catalysts were ultrasonicated for 3 hours. And FTO glass were cut into pieces and ultrasonicated in acetone, methanol, isopropanol and water for every 20 minutes. Then 0.1 mL of the dispersion was dropped onto pre-cleaned substrates covered with 1 × 1 cm² tape template using a syringe. After drying in air overnight, the films were annealed at 423 K for 2 h.

### Modification with Pt

Pt nanoparticulates were loaded through a photodeposition method. Typically, 100 mg of photocatalysts were dispersed in 100 mL aqueous methanol (20 vol%) to which 1.0 mL of the 2.0 mg Pt per mL H₂PtCl₆ (5.18 mg) aqueous solution were added. The solution was stirred and irradiated by a 300 W Xe lamp after it had been evacuated and purged with Ar. After four hours, the powders were centrifuged and washed with pure water seven times and dried in vacuum.
Characterization

Powder XRD scans were performed on Bruker D/8 X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) measurements of powder samples were carried out on a Bruker Tiger S8 XRF spectrometer to determine the elemental composition. Rhodium was used as the standard anode material. The tube and generator are designed for a permanent output of 4 kW. XPS measurements were conducted on a Perkin Elmer PHI 5000C ESCA system equipped with Mg K\(\alpha\) radiation. The C 1s signal from adventitious carbon at 284.6 eV was chosen as reference. TEM images were recorded on a Philips CM-120 at 80 kV accelerating voltage. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) micrograph and the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the sample were obtained by using a JEOL JEM-2100F model microscope at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The samples were prepared by dispersing the powder in water followed by dripping onto a copper grid (400 mesh) covered with ultrathin carbon/lacey support film. UV/vis diffuse reflectance spectra were collected on Thermo Scientific Evolution 220 Spectrophotometer equipped with integrated sphere. Dried thick films were used for testing by dropping slurry of catalysts on a white filter paper. The reflectance data were converted to the Kubelka–Munk function as \(R' = (1 - R)^2/(2R)\) for scattering correction. For SPS, the sample films were placed into a vacuum chamber and illuminated by monochromatic light from a 150 W Xe lamp filtered through an Oriel Cornerstone 130 monochromator. CPD signals were recorded under vacuum (10\(^{-5}\) mBar) and illuminated by monochromatic light from a 150 W Xe lamp filtered through an Oriel Cornerstone 130 monochromator. CPD signals were recorded under vacuum (10\(^{-5}\) mBar) and corrected for drift effects by subtracting a dark scan. No adjustment for the variable light intensity of the Xe-light source was made. The thicknesses of the films were measured to be around 3.0 \(\mu\)m by a Dektak 150 profilometer. In the case of films with adsorbed molecules, 0.05 mL of neat methanol (MeOH) were dropped onto the films and allowed to dry at room temperature before subsequent scanning. Transient SPS measurements were conducted at specific wavelength of incident light which is precisely adjusted by the monochromator. Repeated cycles of excitation were achieved by shining and blocking the light source using an equipped shutter.

Photocatalytic tests were conducted on a self-made air-tight irradiation system. The photocatalyst suspension was placed inside of a quartz round-bottom flask and illuminated from the side using a 300 W Xe arc lamp equipped with an IR filter. The intensity at the flask was 600 mW cm\(^{-2}\) at \(\lambda = 250–680\) nm and 20 mW cm\(^{-2}\) at \(\lambda = 215–355\) nm, as determined with GaAsP (SED(SL005)) or SiC UV detectors (SED(SL270)), from International Light company, connected to IL1400BL photometer. For visible light studies (<400 nm), the UV portion of the spectrum was removed with a 7.0 cm thick 0.22 M aqueous sodium nitrite solution as filter. The apparent quantum efficiency was measured by conducting the reaction under illumination from a 435 nm monochromatic light emitting diode array (LED 435-66-60, Roithner Lasertechnik). The light intensity measured at reactor was 800 mW cm\(^{-2}\) based on a measurement with the GaAsP detector. The reaction system was connected to an online gas chromatograph (Varian 3800) to quantify the amount of gas evolved, using area counts of the recorded peaks. Prior to irradiation, the flask was evacuated and purged with argon gas to 101 kPa.
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